



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,069	10/28/2003	Michael Popovsky	HT03	5359
	7590 07/25/200 JL & ASSOCIATES, P	EXAMINER		
730 FIFTH AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR			CHIN, RANDALL E	
NEW YORK, NY 10019			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comment	10/696,069	POPOVSKY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Randall Chin	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA- 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•	
. · · · <u> </u>	— s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the morits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	· •	·	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Lx parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 1	1, 400 O.G. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-14 and 57-63</u> is/are pending in t	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·	
8) Claim(s) 1. 3-14 and 57-63 are subject to rest	triction and/or election requirer	ment.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			
application from the International Burea		•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗋 Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 3-14 and 57-62, drawn to a cleansing pad, classified in class 15, subclass 104.93.
 - II. Claim 63, drawn to a method of manufacturing a cleansing device pad, classified in class 264, subclass 211.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one not requiring steps of providing a solid cleansing agent pourable soap that is in essentially solid form at a first temperature range of less than about 120°F, and in essentially pourable molten form at a second temperature range of from about 120°F to about 160°F or one not requiring utilizing any molten cleansing agent.

2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/696,069

Art Unit: 1744

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,069 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Randall Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744